



# Introduction to data analysis

- In traditional AI, it has been common for researchers to make their points by building systems that illustrate particular techniques or demonstrate particular competencies – like engineering.
- Increasingly, there is a kind of investigation that demands a different approach, more like that of a behavioural scientist.
- This occurs particularly when systems cease to be transparent. Then it's not enough to just build a system, its properties must be explored.









- This gives a measure of whether the two random variables being sampled vary together or are independent.
- For example, in weather forecasting we might be interested in whether there is a correlation between wind speed and rainfall ...



#### Histogram

- A graphical way of looking at a distribution is to use a histogram of the values found.
- The simplest way to produce a histogram is to create a set of bins covering the range of values of the variable – each bin initially contains the value zero.
- After each trial, the value of the variable being measured is used to pick out a bin, and the value held in the bin is incremented.
- For example, in a simple case, a measure might range from 0 to 99 we create 10 bins covering the ranges 0-9, 10-19, 20-29 and so on.
- If a trial yields the value 63, we increment the 60-69 bin, and after a large enough number of trials, values in the bins can be used to provide an approximation of the underlying probability distribution of the variable.



## Hypothesis testing

- Suppose you run a simulation and measure the outcome say average level of fitness in a population after a certain number of generations or the number of times a robot succeeds in reaching its goal.
- You then make some adjustment, perhaps by varying a parameter of the simulation such as the mutation rate of a genetic algorithm or rate of learning of a neural network, and repeat the simulation.
- If the outcomes changes, how can you say whether this was a result of the adjustment you made, or simply a random fluctuation which might have been expected to occur regardless?





- The null hypothesis *H*<sub>0</sub> is the hypothesis that the difference in conditions between runs of an experiment have no effect.
- The alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  is that  $H_0$  is false l.e. there is an effect of manipulation.
- If we decide the experiment shows an effect when in fact there is none, we have a *Type1* error, and conversely if we decide that there is no evidence for the effect when in fact one exists, we have a *Type 2* error.



#### Simple example

- Suppose we experiment with a simulation of a system by setting a pseudo-random number generator to a particular seed before we start, and using the value *a* for some parameter we are interested in.
- We then change the parameter to *b* and reset the random number generator to the same seed as before and rerun the experiment.
- We look to see whether the performance is better or worse than it was before and repeat the pair of tests some number of times say 10.
- We record for each pair of tests whether the performance increased or decreased when the parameter was changed from *a* to *b* with different random numbers in each pair of tests.



### Simple example

- P=56/1024 or about 0.055 I.e. on about 55 in 1000 repetitions of the whole sequence of trials, you would expect to get 8 or more improvements, just by random fluctuations in the total.
- We can also ask whether a result of 2 of fewer improvements out of 10 would not be just as "extreme" as a result of 8 improvements.
- This depends on whether we want to test that the change had effect of some sort, or whether we want to test that it specifically produced improvement.
- The concept of the two tailed test and the one tailed test.



