Introduction to Logic 15

Last time:

- Interpretations
- Formalization

This time:

- Interpretations and Assignments
- The Meaning of Terms
- The Meaning of Formulas
 - Atomic Formulas
 - Compound Formulas

Interpretations and Assignments

• We have introduced the notion of an interpretation

$$\mathcal{I} = (D, I)$$

The interpretation \mathcal{I} fixes two things:

- 1. the domain of interpretation D; and
- 2. the interpretation function I for constants, function symbols and predicate symbols.
- A variable assignment g associates individual variables with elements of the domain of interpretations.
- There are general rules for calculating the meaning of compound expressions:
 - 1. terms
 - 2. formulas

Terms

Definition Let $\mathcal{I} = (D, I)$ be an interpretation and g a variable assignment function. The meaning [t] of a term t (w.r.t. \mathcal{I} and g) is given by:

- 1. if t is an individual constant a, then $[\![t]\!] = I(a);$
- 2. if t is an individual variable x, then [t] = g(x);
- 3. if t is a functional term $f(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$, then $[\![t]\!] = I(f)([\![t_1]\!], [\![t_2]\!], ..., [\![t_n]\!])$

Example (The Integer domain)

Domain:

$$D = \{\dots, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$$

Interpretation Function:

Notation interpreted as Denotation

$$I(z) = 0$$

$$I(p) = predecessor$$

$$I(s) = successor$$

• So now:

$$[s(p(z))] =$$

$$I(s)([p(z)]) =$$

$$I(s)(I(p)([z])) =$$

$$I(s)(I(p)(I(z))) =$$

$$successor(predecessor(0))) =$$

$$successor(-1) =$$

Formulas

- It remains to provide an interpretation for formulas of the predicate calculus.
 - i.e. given an interpretation $\mathcal{I} = (D, I)$ and variable assignment g, we must provide:
 - 1. a way of calulating the truth values of atomic formulas

$$P(t_1,\ldots,t_n)$$

- 2. rules for determining the truth values of compound formulas:
 - connectives: \land , \lor , \neg , \rightarrow and \leftrightarrow
 - quantifiers: \forall and \exists

Atomic Formulas

- Atomic formulas are built from predicate symbols and terms.
- In general, if P is a predicate symbol of arity n, and t_1, \ldots, t_n are n terms, then $P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is an atomic formula.
- $P(t_1, ..., t_n)$ can be understood as stating that the individuals picked out by $t_1, ..., t_n$ stand in the n-place relation denoted by P.

Definition Let $\mathcal{I} = (D, I)$ be an interpretation, and g a variable assignment. An atomic formula $P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is **true** $(w.r.t. \mathcal{I} \text{ and } g)$ if

$$\langle \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket \rangle \in I(P)$$

and otherwise it is false.

Example (Integers)

$$D = \{\dots, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$$

Notation interpreted as Denotation

$$I(z) = 0$$

$$I(p) = predecessor$$

$$I(s) = successor$$

$$I(L)$$
 = $less-than$

• Consider:

• This is **true** (w.r.t. this interpretation) since:

$$\langle \llbracket p(z) \rrbracket, \llbracket z \rrbracket \rangle = \langle -1, 0 \rangle$$

and

$$\langle -1, 0 \rangle \in \mathit{less-than}$$

Compound Formulas

Connectives –

- We now have a way of assigning truth values to atomic formulas.
- Atomic formulas may be combined with the connectives to build compound formulas.
 - Must give rules for calculating truth values for such formulas...
 - ... this is easy! We already know what the connectives mean.
- The rules for compound formulas built with connectives just follow those for propositional logic.

Definition For arbitrary formulas A and B, then with respect to a given interpretation \mathcal{I} and variable assignment g, we have:

- 1. $\neg A$ is true iff A is false
- 2. $(A \wedge B)$ is true iff A is true and B is true
- 3. $(A \lor B)$ is true iff A is true or B is true
- 4. $(A \rightarrow B)$ is true iff A is false and B is true
- 5. $(A \leftrightarrow B)$ is true iff A is true and B is true, or A is false and B is false

Compound Formulas

Quantification –

- Compound formulas can also be formed using the quantifiers \forall and \exists .
- In general, if A is a formula, and v is a variable then:
 - $\forall v.A \text{ is a formula;}$

$$\forall x. (L(x,z) \to L(p(x),z))$$

 $-\exists v.A \text{ is a formula.}$

$$\exists x. L(x,z)$$

• How can we formalize the interpretation of such statements?

Definition Let $\mathcal{I} = (D, I)$ be an interpretation and g a variable assignment. Then w.r.t. \mathcal{I} and g we have that:

- 1. $\forall v.A$ is **true** iff A is **true** whatever the value of the variable v;
- 2. $\exists v.A \text{ is true } iff A \text{ is true } for \text{ at least one} \\ possible value of the variable <math>v$;

Example (Integers once again)

• Consider the statement

$$\forall x. (L(x,z) \to L(p(x),z))$$

• From the definition, this is **true** as long as

$$L(x,z) \to L(p(x),z)$$

is **true** for whatever value we pick for x.

Summary

- An interpretation for a first-order language fixes the domain of interpretation and the meaning of basic expressions.
- General rules can be given for calculating the meaning of compound expressions (both terms and formulas).
- Terms denote individuals.
- Atomic formulas state that relations hold between individuals.
- The rules for calculating the truth values of boolean combinations of formulas are just as for propositional logic.
- Quantified formulas are interpreted as statements about all (some) possible values of the quantified variable.